

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/626,132	SADIGH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen J Stein	1775	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Stephen J Stein (Examiner).

(3) _____.

 (2) Alan Thompson (Applicants' Representative).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: ____

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

7

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Applicants' representative was telephoned and informed that the case contained allowable subject matter, but that dependent claim 7 was unclear to the examiner. It was agreed to amend dependent claim 7 to make it clear that the claim was limiting the silicon semiconductor layer to p-type silicon where the dopant is boron or indium. (See attached Examiner's Amendment)..